

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE:

Now comes Brenda L. Cothran and files this Plaintiff's Original Complaint against John E. Potter, Postmaster General. Ms. Cothran alleges that she was discriminated against and retaliated against by her supervisor Angie Fuentes. In support, Ms. Cothran respectfully shows the following:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction is proper because Plaintiffs claims arise under Federal Law. Furthermore, Plaintiff received a notice of right to file a civil action on or about February 26, 2008. This complaint is filed within ninety days of receipt of such notice.
- 2. Venue is proper because the events giving rise to this claim arose in Dallas County, Texas.

II. PARTIES

3. Brenda L. Cothran is an individual who resides in Dallas County, Texas.

4. Defendant John E. Potter, Postmaster General is the administrative head of the United States Postal Service.

III. FACTS

- 5. Plaintiff is employed by the United States Postal Service as a Health Resource Management Specialist.
 - 6. Plaintiff works in the injury compensation office in Dallas, Texas.
 - 7. Plaintiff began working in this position on or about June, 2003.
 - 8. At all times, Plaintiff has been an exemplary employee of the Postal Service.
- 9. In April, 2006, Angie Fuentes became the manager of Injury Compensation and Plaintiffs supervisor.
 - 10. Plaintiff's second-level supervisor was Denise Cameron.
- 11. Plaintiff worked a small regular case load of injury claims and was during the relevant time period the sole specialist responsible for third party claims.
 - 12. Ms. Fuentes is Hispanic.
 - 13. Ms. Fuentes believes that she is not treated as well African-American employees.
- 14. On October 31, 2000, Ms. Fuentes filed and Equal Employment Opportunity Complaint alleging discrimination against her based on, among other factors, race, national origin, and retaliation.
 - 15. Ms. Fuentes ultimately filed suit in United States District Court.
 - 16. Ms. Fuentes lost that suit at the Summary Judgment stage.
- 17. Ms. Fuentes appealed that case to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.
 - 18. Ms. Fuentes again lost at the Fifth Circuit level.

- 19. In reviewing her appeal, the Fifth Circuit concluded that Ms. Fuentes was not discriminated against "in an attempt to hire and promote black employees."
 - 20. Ms. Fuentes' claims against the Postal Service were factually baseless.
 - 21. Ms. Fuentes' claims against the Postal Service were legally baseless.
- 22. When Ms. Fuentes became the manager of injury compensation, she was returning from an absence that began on or about August, 1999.
- 23. In order to eliminate a back log of claims, Ms. Fuentes had the employees perform a "blitz" on all of the claims except for Plaintiff's claims.
- 24. When Plaintiff asked that a blitz be performed on her claims, Ms. Fuentes denied the request.
 - 25. The claims for which Plaintiff was responsible were third party claims.
- 26. In addition to Plaintiff's existing workload, Ms. Fuentes began assigning Ms. Cothran, for the first time, injury claims.
 - 27. Ms. Fuentes brought in two clerks to assist with office work.
 - 28. Ms. Fuentes asked the clerks to perform an analysis of Plaintiff's performance.
- 29. Ms. Fuentes instructed the clerks to go through Plaintiff's files and make a spreadsheet of the claims paid in Plaintiff's cases to see how much money Plaintiff had lost the agency.
 - 30. Ms. Fuentes threatened to give the report to the District Manager.
 - 31. From time to time Claims Specialists working in the office went on vacation.
- 32. Gloria Longoria, Hispanic, was on vacation from approximately October 10-16, 2006.

- 33. Kim Paulison, Caucasian, was on vacation from approximately August 7-14, 2006.
 - 34. Plaintiff was on vacation from August 7-14, 2006.
- 35. While Plaintiff was away on vacation, Ms. Fuentes and Sherry Wilson, Caucasian, entered Plaintiff's workspace.
- 36. Ms. Fuentes and Ms. Wilson rearranged the workspace, removed files from how they had been specifically arranged by Plaintiff, making it substantially more difficult for. Plaintiff to perform her duties.
- 37. Ms. Fuentes and Ms. Wilson never asked Plaintiff for permission before invading her office.
- 38. Plaintiff discovered the actions of Ms. Fuentes and Ms. Wilson upon her return from vacation.
 - 39. Previously, Plaintiff had never made a claim of discrimination in the workplace.
- 40. However, after noting that employees of other races did not have their personal space violated, Plaintiff concluded that race was one of the reasons why Ms. Fuentes and Ms. Wilson did not respect Plaintiff's workspace and work product.
- 41. Pursuant to the Postal Service's own requirements, Plaintiff filed an internal EEO complaint of discrimination on November 16, 2006.
 - 42. Ms. Fuentes was informed of the EEO complaint on November 27, 2006.
- 43. On November 29, 2006, just two days after learning of the complaint, Ms. Fuentes entered Plaintiff's performance ratings.
- 44. Ms. Fuentes gave Plaintiff an overall rating of five, with ratings on individual core requirements of zero, zero, fourteen, and six.

- 45. In particular, Plaintiff was given a zero in the category of "PR's Require and Rating" while all other Claims Specialists in the unit who were ranked regarding this criteria were given a score of six.
- 46. The category of "PR's Require and Rating" is based off of a unit score, not an individual's performance.
- 47. By giving Plaintiff a score of zero for "PR's Require and Rating," Ms. Fuentes effectuated a lower merit pay increase.
- 48. The consequences of this denied increase will continue for duration of Plaintiff's career with the Postal Service.
- 49. Ms. Fuentes also claims that Plaintiff had only processed twenty-five claims, while other Claims Specialists had processed approximately two hundred claims.
- 50. This analysis is wholly inaccurate because Plaintiff had done approximately one hundred and eighteen claims.
 - 51. Ms. Fuentes marked Plaintiff as AWOL for FMLA leave.
 - 52. This retaliation was reversed by Denise Cameron and HR Manager.
 - 53. Ms. Fuentes similarly marked Plaintiff as AWOL for scheduled vacation.
 - 54. Ms. Fuentes marked Plaintiff as AWOL for two hours.
- 55. Plaintiff had called in to inform the office that she was going to attend her son's performance at school and would be in shortly thereafter.
 - 56. Eileen Colburn gave Plaintiff permission.
- 57. Non-African American employees, including Gloria Longoria, were not similarly marked as AWOL when they were late.

- 58. On information and belief, the other individuals did not call in and gave the excuse of oversleeping to explain their tardiness.
- 59. The explanation given to Plaintiff regarding Ms. Fuentes' behavior was that Ms. Fuentes was a bad manager.
- 60. All conditions precedent to the bringing of this suit have been satisfied or been fulfilled.

IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: RACE DISCRIMINATION

- 61. Plaintiff is African-American.
- 62. Plaintiff suffered adverse employment action.
- 63. The adverse action occurred because of Plaintiff's race.
- 64. Defendant's proffered reason for the discrimination is insufficient to meet the requirement of the burden of production or otherwise is pretext for race discrimination.
 - 65. Because of the actions of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: RETALIATION

- 66. Plaintiff engaged in protective activity by filing a complaint of discrimination with the Postal Service's internal EEO office.
 - 67. Plaintiff suffered adverse employment action and/or material harm.
 - 68. Plaintiff suffered harm because she engaged in the protected activity.
 - 69. Because of the actions of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered damages.

VI. JURY DEMAND

70. Plaintiff exercises her right and demands a jury.

VII. DAMAGES

- 71. Plaintiff seeks her actual economic damages, including lost wages and benefits, as well as incidental costs.
- 72. Plaintiff seeks lost economic opportunity and damage to her professional reputation.
- 73. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages in an amount to be decided by the jury after hearing all of the evidence.
- 74. Because the act of Defendant was performed with malice or reckless disregard to Plaintiffs federally protected rights, Plaintiff seeks punitive damages in amount to be decided by the jury after hearing all of the evidence.
- 75. Plaintiff seeks her actual attorney fees. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned counsel her to represent her and agreed to pay him a discounted hourly rate of \$310 per hour for services rendered in this lawsuit.
 - 76. Plaintiff seeks all out-of-pocket costs incurred in this lawsuit.
- 77. Plaintiff seeks pre and post-judgment interest at the maximum amount allowed by law.
- 78. Plaintiff requests any other and further relief to which she is justly entitled, whether at law or in equity.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that Defendants be cited to appear and that, upon a trial on the merits, that Plaintiff be awarded all damages sought herein.

Respectfully submitted,

ROB WILEY P.

Robert J. Wiley

Texas Bar No. 24013750

Board Certified in Labor and Employment Law

Texas Board of Legal Specialization

Turtle Creek Tower 310

3131 Turtle Creek Boulevard

Dallas, Texas 75219

Telephone: (214) 528-6500 Facsimile: (214) 528-6511

Attorney for Plaintiff

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

RECEIPT #

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07) CIVIL COVER SHEET The JS 44 civil cover short and the information contained here in neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of plead ings or other papers as required by law, except as provided to This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating (LEELINSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) ል) JALAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Brenda Cothran John E. Potter, Postmaster General (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Dallas County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ON NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF LAND INVOLVED MAY - 8 2008 (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Robert J. Wiley, Law Office of Rob Wiley, P.C., 3131 Turtle Creek Tammy S. Barrow, USPS Southwest Law Rept Blvd., Ste. 310, Dallas, TX 75219 (214) 528-6500 227078, Dallas, TX 75222-7078 (2 4) 250 6 HODISTRICT CO II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) \Box 1 U.S. Government ■ 3 Federal Ouestion PTF Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State Incorporated or Principal Place \Box 1 \Box 1 of Business In This State U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity 5 Citizen of Another State D 2 Incorporated and Principal Place Defendant of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 \Box 3 Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only FOREELIURE/PUNAULY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES ☐ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 610 Agriculture 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 120 Marine 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury -☐ 620 Other Food & Drug 423 Withdrawal 410 Antitrost 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product 28 USC 157 430 Banks and Banking Med. Malpractice 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 450 Commerce ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 320 Assault, Libel & ☐ 630 Liquor Laws Product Liability PROPERTY RIGHTS 460 Deportation & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal 17 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 820 Copyrights 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability Liability 5 660 Occupational ☐ 840 Trademark Student Loans 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERTY Safety/Health 490 Cable/Sat TV (Excl. Veterans) 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud ☐ 690 Other 810 Selective Service ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpaymen Liability 371 Truth in Lending LAROR SOCIAL SECURITY 850 Securities/Commodities/ of Veteran's Benefits 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) Exchange 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle 3 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 875 Customer Challenge Property Damage Act ☐ 190 Other Contract Product Liability 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 12 USC 3410 385 Property Damage ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) Product Liability 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 196 Franchise Injury & Disclosure Act 891 Agricultural Acts REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS FEDERAL TAX SUITS 892 Economic Stabilization Act 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation 510 Motions to Vacate 7 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 220 Foreclosure 442 Employment ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Sentence or Defendant) ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party Habeas Corpus: Security Act 895 Freedom of Information 240 Torts to Land Accommodations 26 USC 7609 530 General Act 245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare IMMIGRATION 535 Death Penalty 900Appeal of Fee Determination 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other 462 Naturalization Application Under Equal Access Employment 550 Civil Rights 463 Habeas Corpus to Justice 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -☐ 950 Constitutionality of 555 Prison Condition Alien Detainee Other 465 Other Immigration State Statutes 440 Other Civil Rights Actions ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Appeal to District Judge from Transferred from Original 2 Removed from ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation Remanded from 3 4 Reinstated or 5 another district Magistrate Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause:
Race Discrimination and Retaliation VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: DEMAND \$ UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: 7 Yes ☐ No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): PENDING OR CLOSED JDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 04/25/2008 ^